UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/576,851 | 07/12/2006 | Shinobu Ozaki | F-9070 | 5743 |
| | 7590 01/15/201 HAMBURG LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 122 EAST 42N | | D'ANGELO, MICHAEL J | | |
| SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, N | NY 10168 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3735 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/15/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/576,851 | OZAKI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | MICHAEL D'ANGELO | 3735 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | - | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavinal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, whi a date of the final rejection | chever is later. In |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1 | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE '). | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | ED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The amendments to claims 13 and 14 incorporate new li 13,lines 12-18 are directed toward a memory with region presented and would require further search and consider and will not be enetered for the same reason. | mitations that were not previously pas that now incorporate different us ration. Additionally in claim 14 the | oresented. Specificall ers which was not pre | y,in claim viously |
| 12. | P10/38/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Robert L. Nasser Jr/ | nit 2725 | |

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.Part of Paper No. 20100112